



Northwest Territories Long-Term Care Program Review

February 2016

Department of Health and Social Services



Our Elders Our Communities - 2014



7 Key Priorities:

- Healthy and active aging
- Home and community care services
- Integrated and coordinated service delivery
- Caregiver supports
- Elder responsive communities
- Accessible and current information
- Sustainable best practices

NWT Continuum of Care

Continuing Care Levels of Service

Living Arrangements								
Private/Public Housing or Senior Residence	Group Home or Supported Living	Extended Care or Long Term Care Facility						
			 Level 1	 Level 2	 Level 3	 Level 4	 Level 5	 Level 6
Independent Living Persons living in private/public housing or seniors residence who do not require support								
Home Care Persons living in their own home or public housing who needs assistance with daily activities and personal care								
Supported Living Persons requiring 24-hour support and supervision who generally do not have medical needs or require nursing care								
Long Term Care/Dementia Care Persons with complex care needs who cannot live independently and require 24-hour access to nursing services								
Extended Care Persons with complex issues requiring 24-hour nursing care, support from other health professionals and medical supervision								

Definitions

- Long Term Care - a home-like facility that provides care and services for people who no longer are able to live independently and who require onsite nursing care, 24-hour supervision or personal support.
- Supported living - provides 24-hour support and supervision for people who have a physical and/or mental health challenge but do not need nursing care. Supported Living provides services in a homelike setting while helping people with disabilities maintain as much independence as possible.
- Independent living - allows residents to live independently in their home communities, while being provided appropriate supportive care services.

LTC Program Review Purpose and Approach

Purpose:

- To inform options and decisions regarding the allocation of LTC resources and future investments across all regions.

Approach:

- Examination of current and emerging operating framework;
- Analysis of the demand side, including the development of comprehensive population and bed demand projections;
- Analysis of supply side, including program and facility data;
- Jurisdictional review;
- Develop preliminary program delivery and funding options.;
- Recommendations and Decision Points

Demographics : Current

- **Total Territorial Population: 2014 - 43,623.**
- 22,425 Aboriginal persons, 51.4% of the population.
- Non-Aboriginal persons 21,198, 48.6% of the population.
- 103.7 males for every 100 females
- 70+ Years Cohort **1,687** persons aged 70+ years, representing **3.9.%** of the total population.

Demographics : Projections

- **Total Territorial Population: 2034 - 45,012.**
- 22,877 Aboriginal persons, 50.8% of the population.
- Non-Aboriginal persons 22,135, 49.2% of the population.
- 86.2 Males for every 100 females
- 70+ Years Cohort **5,207 persons aged 70+ years,** representing **11.6% of the total population.**

LTC Trends, Demand and Supply Drivers

Demand and Supply Drivers

- Demand is characterized by a complex and interrelated set of drivers.
- Demand side has two categories – demographic and non-demographic drivers.
- Two primary demographic drivers – *age and life expectancy*.
- Non-demographic demand drivers consist of several components, with one principal driver – *health status*.

Impact of Migration

- **2001-2011**
- Of the total 13,880 out-migrants, 1,175 (8.5%) were 60+ years;
- Of the total 11,560 in-migrants, 375 (3.2%) were 60+ years; and
- The result being a net out-migration of 800 of persons 60+ years
- Net out-migration of seniors (80% non-Aboriginal) **in 23 of 25 years** has resulted in a small net 'export' of those aged 60+ years.

Period	Out-Migration	In-Migration	Net Migration
2001-2006	555	145	-410
2006-2011	620	230	-390
2001-2011	1,175	375	-800

Cost of Long Term Care

- ***Total Budget:*** The LTC Program budget for FY 2014-15 was \$22.2 million. Actual expenses were \$23.6 million, with revenue of \$1.0 million.
- ***Program Revenue and Expenses:*** Revenue, as a % of expenses, accounted for between 4.2% and 6.3%. The average was 4.9%, representing a subsidy of some 95%.

Year	Revenue as % of Expenses	GNWT Subsidy %
2014-15	4.20	95.80
2013-14	4.63	95.37
2012-13	4.49	95.52
2011-12	5.08	94.92
2010-11	6.26	93.74
Average	4.93	95.07

Cost of Long Term Care

- ***System Wide Cost per Bed:*** (not a ‘fully burdened’) The FY 2014-15 expenses were \$23.6 million for 174 beds in inventory, or an annual operating cost of **\$136,000** per bed.
- The monthly and daily equivalent costs were **\$11,300** and **\$370**, respectively.
- ***Capital Investment Costs:*** The approximate ‘all-in’ cost (FY 2014-15) per bed was in the range of **\$800,000 to \$1,200,000**. The small scale of the facilities contributes to the significantly higher per bed costs.

Current number of LTC beds in the NWT (as of 2016/17)

									FY 2016-17	
Region	Community	Name of Facility	Total Beds	Current LTC Beds	Respite Bed(s) Total	LTC beds pending in 2016	Respite beds to be added 2016	Total Beds FY 2016-17	% of Total LTC Beds	% of Total NWT 70+ Cohort
Tlicho	Behchoko	TBD	9	8	1	8	1	18	9.00	6.30
Beaufort-Delta Health and Social Services Authority	Inuvik	Inuvik Hospital Long Term Care Unit	25	22	3			25	12.40	18.50
Sahtu Health and Social Services Authority	Norman Wells	TBD	0	0	0	16	2	18	9.00	7.40
Fort Smith Health and Social Services Authority	Fort Smith	Northern Lights Special Care Home	28	26	2			28	13.90	9.30
Hay River Health and Social Services Authority	Hay River	H.H. Williams & Woodland Manor	25	25	0	0	0	25	12.40	14.40
Dehcho Health and Social Services Authority	Fort Simpson	Fort Simpson Elders' Care Home	18	17	1	0	0	18	9.00	10.70
Yellowknife Health and Social Services Authority	Yellowknife	Aven Manor	29	28	1	0	0	29	34.30	33.40
Yellowknife Health and Social Services Authority	Yellowknife	Territorial Dementia Facility	28	25	3	0	0	28		
Stanton Territorial Health Authority	Yellowknife	Stanton Territorial Hospital Extended Care Unit	12	10	2	0	0	12		
NWT LTC Inventory Totals			174	161	13	24	3	201	100.00	100.00

Notes:

- (1) Last updated July 8, 2015
- (2) One 9 bed pod was completed in 2014-15 and the second pod is under construction which will add an additional 9 beds
- (3) An 18 bed LTC unit is being constructed in Norman Wells connect to the new Health Centre
- (4) HH Williams LTC Unit is closing and a 9 bed pod is being added to Woodland Manor, renovations within WM will create 1 room for respite
- (5) Percentage (rounded) of LTC beds combined for the Yellowknife region
- (6) Percentage (rounded) of total NWT 70+ Cohort (n=1991) projected for Calendar Year 2017

Bed Projection Assumptions

- Number of NWT residents age 70+
- 115 beds per 1000 population (age 70+)
- 95% Occupancy
- Initial primary focus is on 10 year planning horizon - to 2026
- Waitlist tolerance – 20 or less per region

Projected Shortfalls in LTC beds ***(based on bed projection assumptions : 115 beds per 1000 and 95% occupancy)***

***by 2026 there will be a shortfall of 258 LTC beds in the NWT**
Of the total, the shortfall of 123 beds in Yellowknife is projected

Geographic Area	Bed Occupancy Scenario															
	2016 (Base Year)		2017		2020		2023		2026		2029		2032		2034	
	95%	100%	95%	100%	95%	100%	95%	100%	95%	100%	95%	100%	95%	100%	95%	100%
Northwest Territories	-67.0	-55.5	-78.0	-66.0	-133.7	-118.9	-194.8	-176.9	-258.5	-237.4	-333.4	-308.6	-418.7	-389.6	-467.3	-435.8
Beaufort Delta	-27.4	-25.2	-27.7	-25.4	-33.6	-31.1	-39.9	-37.1	-46.6	-43.4	-56.8	-53.2	-69.2	-64.9	-77.9	-73.2
Sahtu	0.8	1.7	0.2	1.1	-2.9	-1.9	-6.6	-5.3	-9.7	-8.3	-12.0	-10.5	-16.9	-15.1	-19.3	-17.4
Dehcho	-9.3	-8.1	-10.7	-9.4	-16.2	-14.7	-20.8	-19.0	-29.1	-26.9	-34.1	-31.7	-41.4	-38.6	-45.5	-42.5
Tlcho	0.6	1.4	0.7	1.5	-0.9	-0.1	-2.9	-1.9	-5.1	-4.0	-7.1	-6.0	-11.7	-10.3	-13.1	-11.6
Yellowknife	-16.6	-12.8	-22.4	-18.4	-53.1	-47.6	-88.6	-81.3	-123.1	-114.0	-166.8	-155.6	-210.5	-197.1	-236.4	-221.7
South Slave	-11.7	-10.1	-13.7	-12.0	-17.1	-15.2	-24.0	-21.8	-30.9	-28.3	-38.9	-35.9	-47.8	-44.3	-51.3	-47.7
Fort Smith	-3.4	-2.4	-4.5	-3.4	-9.7	-8.3	-12.0	-10.5	-14.1	-12.5	-17.6	-15.8	-21.2	-19.3	-23.9	-21.8

Funding Models in Other Jurisdictions: Findings

- Privately owned and operated facilities were seen to achieve lower operating costs. (lower compensation costs, more flexible procurement options, and a strong motivation for efficiency and surplus or profit.)
- Per diem standard cost funding formula maximized the potential and benefits of private sector capabilities. Government can design the formula around a high efficiency operational model and provide maximum risk/reward motivation to private operators to achieve efficiencies.
- Quality of service and resident safety can be assured by regulated standards, facility licensing and a robust inspection program.

Where do we go from here ?

- Developing updated capital requirements for long-term care and dementia beds
- Developing financing options for long-term care facilities
- Proposing a regulatory framework for long-term care
- Developing an action plan for enhanced home and community care services
- Building more Seniors' Supported Independent Living units
- Marketing preventative maintenance, renovation and mobility upgrades